

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/065,800 | | 11/20/2002 | Shihshieh Huang | 38-21(52573) | 6564 |
| 27161 | 7590 | 05/26/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| MONSAN | | | FOX, DAVID T | | |
| 800 N. LINDBERGH BLVD. ATTENTION: G.P. WUELLNER, IP PARALEGAL, (E2NA) | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ST. LOUIS, MO 63167 | | | | 1638 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004 | ς. |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Office Action Comment | 10/065,800 | HUANG, SHIHSHIEH | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | David T. Fox | 1638 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ONE(1) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🗌 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | · | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ Application 9) □ 1 | Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. Italian Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or elements The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to at the correction of the correc | election requirement. T. Popted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s). | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | e | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/065,800

Art Unit: 1638

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 5-11, drawn to a method of restoring fertility by topical application of cytokinin, classified in class 504, subclass 103, for example.

- II. Claims 12-14, drawn to a method of plant transformation with an antisense construct, classified in class 800, subclass 286, for example.
- III. Claims 15-17, drawn to a method of plant transformation with a gene encoding a dominant negative mutant protein, classified in class 800, subclass 278, for example.
- IV. Claims 18-19, drawn to a method of plant transformation with a gene encoding a ribozyme, classified in class 800, subclass 285, for example.
- V. Claims 26 and 30-31, drawn to a method for preventing transgene escape via utilizing male sterility, classified in class 800, subclass 303, for example.
- VI. Claims 32-34, drawn to a method for interbreeding elite traditionally bred cultivars and male sterile breeding lines, classified in class 800, subclass 274, for example.

Claims 1-4, 20-25, 27-29 and 35 link inventions I-VI. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-4, 20-25, 27-29 and 35. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if

۲,

any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and different effects. Each invention is drawn to processes requiring physiologically and biochemically divergent process steps, starting materials, reagents, and final products.

The fertility restoration of Groups I-IV, and concomitant methods for evaluating said fertility restoration, are not required by the other groups. The topical cytokinin application and surfactants of Group I are not required by any other group. The methods of evaluating gene inhibition of Groups II and IV are not required by any other group. The antisense construct of Group II is not required by any other group. The gene encoding a dominant negative mutation of Group III is not required by any other group. The ribozyme-encoding construct of Group IV is not required by any other group. The additional transgene and its linkage to a male sterility gene of Group V is

Page 4

not required by any other group. The traditionally bred elite hybrids of Group VI are not required by any other group.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, classification, and fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-0795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy J. Nelson, Ph.D., can be reached on 571-272-0804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 24, 2005

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1807 / 6

GROUP 180 1638